

Sharing insights

News Alert 13 June 2013



Provisions of section 145A of the Income-tax Act should be scrupulously followed for valuing inventory to determine the correct taxable income

In brief

In a recent decision in the case of Hercules Pigment Industry¹ (the assessee), the Income-tax Appellate Tribunal (the Tribunal), Mumbai held that provisions of section 145A of the Income-tax Act, 1961 (the Act) should be scrupulously followed while valuing the inventory in order to determine the correct taxable income. The Tribunal also held that the valuation of closing stock cannot be a source of income or profit².

Facts

- The assessee was a partnership firm in the business of manufacturing and marketing organic pigments according to international standards.
- The assessee stated that it had valued its closing stock including all taxes, as mandated by section 145A of the Act. Furthermore, the assessee took excise duty paid on purchases and recovered on sales to a Central value added tax (CENVAT) credit account.
- During the assessment, the assessing officer (AO) disallowed the balance in the unutilised CENVAT Credit Account (UCC A/c) of INR 1.39 million stating that

¹ Hercules Pigment Industry v. ITO [TS-218-ITAT-2013(Mum)]

² While this decision deals with other issues also, this news alert covers only the issue pertaining to the applicability of section 145A of the Act on valuation of closing stock.

it had an impact on the valuation of inventory according to section 145A of the Act.

- On appeal, the Commissioner of Income-tax (Appeals) (CIT(A)) confirmed the order of the AO. However, the CIT(A) allowed relief of INR 0.339 million to the assessee.
- The assessee appealed against the CIT(A) order before the Tribunal, Mumbai.

Issues before the Tribunal

- Whether the assessee has correctly determined the profit and the operating results for the relevant assessment year irrespective of following the exclusive or inclusive method of accounting?

Assessee's contentions

- The assessee has followed the inclusive method of accounting as mandated by section 145A of the Act and hence there should not be any enhancement or change in income.
- The amount outstanding in the unutilised CENVAT credit account (UCC account) in the books of accounts is a different aspect of the matter and need not be correlated with section 145A.

Revenue's contentions

- According to section 145A of the Act, the profit disclosed by the assessee should be enhanced by the amount outstanding in the unutilised CENVAT credit account.
- The CIT(A) has already allowed relief to the extent that the assessee could ostensibly show a double effect

Tribunal Ruling

- Section 145A of the Act is only an accounting prescription which is consistent with the accepted accounting principles of valuation of inventories. The valuation of inventories is relevant because they help in determining the correct profit and they cannot be a source of income or profit.
- CIT(A) has not allowed relief to the assessee on account of unutilised CENVAT credit but only directed adjustments to the extent that excise duty is included in the valuation of closing stock.
- The Tribunal referred to the Supreme Court of India (the SC) rulings in Chainrup Sampatram³, Chowringhee sales Bureau Pvt. Ltd.⁴ and Sinclair Murray & Co. Pvt. Ltd.⁵, wherein it was held that it was the nature and quality of receipts or payments that are relevant in determining its taxability, and not its accounting treatment.
- The assessee has not followed the accounting treatment as prescribed by section 145A of the Act, as the purchases were not accounted for at gross of duties but were taken into a separate account called UCC account.
- If the books are maintained on an exclusive basis, i.e. recording payment or recovery of duties in a separate account, then a separate profit and loss account in terms of section 145A of the Act should be prepared for tax purposes.
- The Tribunal referred to the SC ruling in Indo Nippon Chemicals Co. Ltd.⁶ wherein it was held that the assessee could follow any of the two methods, i.e. gross or net method of accounting, for reporting taxable profits, provided they lead to the same results and pass the test of under section 145A of the Act. This

³ Chainrup Sampatram v. CIT [1953] 24 ITR 481 (SC)

⁴ Chowringhee sales Bureau Pvt. Ltd. v. CIT [1973] 87 ITR 542 (SC)

⁵ Sinclair Murray & Co. Pvt. Ltd v. CIT [1974] 97 ITR 615 (SC)

⁶ CIT v. Indo Nippon Chemicals Co. Ltd [2003] 261 ITR 275 (SC)

was reiterated by the SC in the case of Shri Ram Honda Power Equipment Ltd.⁷ wherein it was held that both the gross method and the net method were at par.

- Also, in Raj Petro Specialties Pvt. Ltd.⁸, the Tribunal held that sections 43B and 145A of the Act were non-obstante provisions and were to be read in harmony, there being no conflict between these two sections. Therefore, any deduction in respect of excise duty payable to the central government on value addition will only be on actual payment according to section 43B of the Act.
- Only adopting all figures at the correct values would lead to the correct profit as per section 145A of the Act. The balance in UCC account could not be taken as a surrogate measure of excise component in the inventories, since it did not represent the un-utilized credit available on goods held as stock in trade. It allows full adjustment of the excise liability on the removal of goods. Hence, the UCC account thus prepared was not consistent with the accounting principles.
- The assessee needs to draw a profit and loss statement in accordance with section 145A of the Act, confirming that the amount of excise duty is included in the value of opening stock, purchases and closing stock in such a way that the CENVAT credit account is effectively incorporated in the profit and loss account and correct profit has been determined.

Conclusion

- The Tribunal has examined in detail the difference between the gross basis and the net basis of accounting in terms of section 145A of the Act.
- Recommending a periodic reconciliation between accounts and excise records, the Tribunal has reiterated the primacy of section 145A of the Act.
- The provision becomes tax neutral only when duty is paid on value addition.

⁷ CIT v. Shri Ram Honda Power Equipment Ltd. [(2013) 258 CTR 329 (SC)]

⁸ Raj Petro Specialties Pvt. Ltd. [ITA 7260&7261/Mum/2010 dated 15 March 2013]

About PwC

PwC* helps organisations and individuals create the value they're looking for. We are a network of firms in 158 countries with more than 180,000 people who are committed to delivering quality in assurance, tax and advisory services.

PwC India refers to the network of PwC firms in India, having offices in: Ahmedabad, Bangalore, Chennai, Delhi NCR, Hyderabad, Kolkata, Mumbai and Pune. For more information about PwC India's service offerings, please visit www.pwc.in.

*PwC refers to PwC India and may sometimes refer to the PwC network. Each member firm is a separate legal entity. Please see www.pwc.com/structure for further details.
Tell us what matters to you and find out more by visiting us at www.pwc.in.



Our offices

Ahmedabad President Plaza, 1st Floor Plot No 36 Opp Muktidham Derasar Thaltej Cross Road, SG Highway Ahmedabad, Gujarat 380054 Phone +91-79 3091 7000	Bangalore 6th Floor, Millenia Tower 'D' 1 & 2, Murphy Road, Ulsoor, Bangalore 560 008 Phone +91-80 4079 7000	Chennai 8th Floor, Prestige Palladium Bayan 129-140 Greams Road, Chennai 600 006, India Phone +91 44 4228 5000	Hyderabad #8-2-293/82/A/113A Road no. 36, Jubilee Hills, Hyderabad 500 034, Andhra Pradesh Phone +91-40 6624 6600	Kolkata 56 & 57, Block DN. Ground Floor, A- Wing Sector - V, Salt Lake. Kolkata - 700 091, West Bengal, India Telephone: +91-033 - 2357 9101/4400 1111 Fax: (91) 033 - 2357 2754
Mumbai PwC House, Plot No. 18A, Guru Nanak Road - (Station Road), Bandra (West), Mumbai - 400 050 Phone +91-22 6689 1000	Gurgaon Building No. 10, Tower - C 17th & 18th Floor, DLF Cyber City, Gurgaon Haryana -122002 Phone : +91-124 330 6000	Pune GF-02, Tower C, Panchshil Tech Park, Don Bosco School Road, Yerwada, Pune - 411 006 Phone +91-20 4100 4444	For more information contact us at, pwcstrs.knowledgemanagement@in.pwc.com	

For private circulation only

This publication has been prepared for general guidance on matters of interest only, and does not constitute professional advice. You should not act upon the information contained in this publication without obtaining specific professional advice. No representation or warranty (express or implied) is given as to the accuracy or completeness of the information contained in this publication, and, to the extent permitted by law, PwCPL, its members, employees and agents accept no liability, and disclaim all responsibility, for the consequences of you or anyone else acting, or refraining to act, in reliance on the information contained in this publication or for any decision based on it. Without prior permission of PwCPL, this publication may not be quoted in whole or in part or otherwise referred to in any documents.

©2013 PricewaterhouseCoopers. All rights reserved. "PwC", a registered trademark, refers to PricewaterhouseCoopers Private Limited (a limited company in India) or, as the context requires, other member firms of PricewaterhouseCoopers International Limited, each of which is a separate and independent legal entity.