

## ***Existence of “debt-claim” is crucial to determine whether a fee in relation to loan can be categorised as “interest”***

June 6, 2018

### ***In brief***

Recently, the Authority for Advance Rulings (AAR) ruled<sup>1</sup> that any processing fee paid post the debt-claim comes into existence, has a direct nexus with the debt-claim, and the fees so paid would fall within the meaning of “interest” as defined in the India-France Double Taxation Avoidance Agreement (tax treaty).

Further, the upfront appraisal fees paid for appraising the loan was not in the nature of debt-claim. The AAR observed that (a) front end fee (other than appraisal fee)<sup>2</sup>; (b) commitment fee<sup>3</sup>; (c) cancellation fee<sup>4</sup>; (d) monitoring fee<sup>5</sup>; and (e) amendment fee<sup>6</sup> [*collectively referred as “fees other than upfront appraisal fees”*] were paid post securing the loan and would have direct nexus with the debt-claim. Hence, it ruled that they would fall within the meaning of “interest” as defined in the tax treaty.

### ***In detail***

#### ***Facts***

- The applicant was a limited liability company incorporated in France and was engaged in the business of private sector financing in different countries, including India.
- The applicant had entered into agreements with clients in India, for grant of loan facility.
- Pursuant to such loan facility, the applicant earned the following fees:

- Upfront appraisal fee.
- Fees other than upfront appraisal fees.
- The applicant sought an advance ruling on the taxability of the above fees in India.

#### ***Questions before the AAR***

- Whether the following fees fall within the ambit of “interest” as defined in the tax treaty:
  - Upfront appraisal fee payable for appraisal of loan application

- Fees other than upfront appraisal fees

- If such fees was not taxable as “interest,” whether it would be regarded as fees for technical services (FTS) under Article 13 of the India-France tax treaty?

#### ***Taxpayer’s contentions***

- The income earned from the above referred fees was not income from debt-claim, and hence, could not fall within the meaning of “interest.”

<sup>1</sup> A.A.R. No 1105 of 2011

<sup>2</sup> Payable at the time of signing the agreement

<sup>3</sup> Payable for securing the unutilised portion of the credit facility

<sup>4</sup> Payable as a percentage of unutilised credit facility for cancelling the credit facility

<sup>5</sup> Payable for undertaking periodic financial analysis; time-to-time review of credit arrangement, etc.

<sup>6</sup> Payable for amending the terms of the agreement

- The income earned from the above referred fees could not be categorised as FTS, as the services of the applicant did not “make available” technical knowledge, skill, know-how or processes to the person availing credit facility.
- The applicant drew reference to the Most Favoured Nation (MFN) clause under the India-France tax treaty and invoked the “make available” clause under India-Portugal tax treaty.

#### **Revenue’s contention**

- The Revenue accepted the contention of the taxpayer that upfront appraisal fee paid for appraisal of credit facility would not fall within the meaning of “interest.”
- However, it contended that fees other than upfront appraisal fees had direct nexus with the debt-claim, as the credit facility had come into existence when these fees were paid and were calculated taking into account the amount of credit facility approved. Thus, they directly relate to the debt claim.
- Relying on the judgment of Mumbai bench of the Income-tax Appellate Tribunal and the Bombay High Court (HC) in the case of Commonwealth Development Corporation,<sup>7</sup>

the Revenue contended that commitment fee, cancellation fee, amendment fee and monitoring fee was camouflaged for interest and was paid after disbursement of loan.

- With respect to FTS, it was contended that the “make available” clause of the India-Portugal tax treaty could not be automatically imported into the tax treaty without notification, relying on the Supreme Court’s decision in Azadi Bachao Andolan.<sup>8</sup>

#### **AAR’s ruling**

- The definition of interest in the India-France tax treaty is more restrictive.
- To constitute “interest” as per the tax treaty, the income must be free from debt-claims.
- Relying on the judgment of the Bombay HC in case of Commonwealth Development Corporation,<sup>9</sup> it ruled that the upfront appraisal fee would not be in the nature of “interest,” as there was no debt-claim in existence when this fee was payable.
- Fees other than upfront appraisal fee would be paid after signing the loan agreements.
- Loan agreements created a debt-claim that

was legal, valid and enforceable.

- When fees other than upfront appraisal fees were directly related to debt-claim and were charged after disbursement of loan, the same would establish a direct nexus between the fees and the debt-claim, which was already in existence.
- It also ruled that it was immaterial whether the fees was calculated as a percentage of loan unutilised or other method. The fact that the fees paid were directly related to the credit facility advanced would make the fees other than upfront appraisal fees as “interest.”

#### **The takeaways**

- This is a welcome ruling, as it provides guidance on when a fee would be considered as debt-claim.
- This ruling affirms that fees other than appraisal fee paid post the sanction of credit facility have a direct nexus with the credit facility, and hence, the fees should also be regarded as debt-claim.

#### **Let’s talk**

For a deeper discussion of how this issue might affect your business, please contact your local PwC advisor

---

<sup>7</sup> Commonwealth Development Corporation v. DIT [ITA nos. 1987 & 1988/Mum/2006] and DIT v. Commonwealth

Development [Appeal No 1058 of 2011(Bombay)].

<sup>8</sup> Union of India v. Azadi Bachao Andolan [2003] 263 ITR 706 (SC)

<sup>9</sup> CIT v. Commonwealth Development [2012] 210 Taxman 310 (Bombay)

## Our Offices

### Ahmedabad

1701, 17th Floor, Shapath V,  
Opp. Karnavati Club,  
S G Highway,  
Ahmedabad – 380051  
Gujarat  
+91-79 3091 7000

### Hyderabad

Plot no. 77/A, 8-2-624/A/1, 4th  
Floor, Road No. 10, Banjara Hills,  
Hyderabad – 500034,  
Telangana  
+91-40 44246000

### Gurgaon

Building No. 10, Tower - C  
17th & 18th Floor,  
DLF Cyber City,  
Gurgaon – 122002  
Haryana  
+91-124 330 6000

### Bengaluru

6th Floor  
Millenia Tower 'D'  
1 & 2, Murphy Road, Ulsoor,  
Bengaluru – 560 008  
Karnataka  
+91-80 4079 7000

### Kolkata

56 & 57, Block DN.  
Ground Floor, A- Wing  
Sector - V, Salt Lake  
Kolkata – 700 091,  
West Bengal  
+91-033 2357 9101/  
4400 1111

### Pune

7th Floor, Tower A - Wing 1,  
Business Bay, Airport Road,  
Yerwada, Pune – 411 006  
Maharashtra  
+91-20 4100 4444

### Chennai

8th Floor  
Prestige Palladium Bayan  
129-140 Greams Road  
Chennai – 600 006  
Tamil Nadu  
+91 44 4228 5000

### Mumbai

PwC House  
Plot No. 18A,  
Guru Nanak Road (Station Road),  
Bandra (West), Mumbai – 400 050  
Maharashtra  
+91-22 6689 1000

### For more information

Contact us at  
[pwctr.knowledgemanagement@in.pwc.com](mailto:pwctr.knowledgemanagement@in.pwc.com)

## About PwC

At PwC, our purpose is to build trust in society and solve important problems. We're a network of firms in 157 countries with more than 223,000 people who are committed to delivering quality in assurance, advisory and tax services. Find out more and tell us what matters to you by visiting us at [www.pwc.com](http://www.pwc.com).

In India, PwC has offices in these cities: Ahmedabad, Bengaluru, Chennai, Delhi NCR (Gurgaon), Hyderabad, Kolkata, Mumbai and Pune. For more information about PwC India's service offerings, visit [www.pwc.com/in](http://www.pwc.com/in)

PwC refers to the PwC International network and/or one or more of its member firms, each of which is a separate, independent and distinct legal entity. Please see [www.pwc.com/structure](http://www.pwc.com/structure) for further details.

©2018 PwC. All rights reserved

## Follow us on:



For private circulation only

This publication has been prepared for general guidance on matters of interest only, and does not constitute professional advice. You should not act upon the information contained in this publication without obtaining specific professional advice. No representation or warranty (express or implied) is given as to the accuracy or completeness of the information contained in this publication, and, to the extent permitted by law, PwCPL, its members, employees and agents accept no liability, and disclaim all responsibility, for the consequences of you or anyone else acting, or refraining to act, in reliance on the information contained in this publication or for any decision based on it. Without prior permission of PwCPL, this publication may not be quoted in whole or in part or otherwise referred to in any documents.

© 2018 PricewaterhouseCoopers Private Limited. All rights reserved. In this document, "PwC" refers to PricewaterhouseCoopers Private Limited (a limited liability company in India having Corporate Identity Number or CIN : U74140WB1983PTC036093), which is a member firm of PricewaterhouseCoopers International Limited (PwCIL), each member firm of which is a separate legal entity.