

Capital gains on indirect transfer of shares by non-resident not taxable in India since it did not meet the criteria of ‘substantial value’ as per the Act; Tax treaty benefit available

January 23, 2018

In brief

In a recent ruling¹ by the Authority for Advance Rulings (AAR), the transfer of shares in a German company by German residents was held not taxable in India since it did not meet the criteria of “substantial value,” as provided under the Income-tax Act, 1961 (the Act). In addition, the said transaction was held not taxable in India under the India-Germany Double Taxation Avoidance Agreement (tax treaty), considering the language employed therein.

In detail

Facts

- The applicant, a German company was engaged in the business of industrial refrigeration.
- The applicant entered into a Share Purchase Agreement (SPA) to acquire an unrelated German company on 31 March, 2011.
- The German company was a family owned company, with all its shareholders being German residents.
- The German company held shares in various entities in different jurisdictions including 100% shares in an Indian company.

- The Indian company was an operating company with its own manufacturing facilities in India. As a result of the aforementioned transaction of acquisition of the German company by the applicant, there was an indirect change in the ownership of the Indian company.
- The applicant filed a report on the fair market valuation (FMV) of shares of the Indian company as well as that of the German company as on 31 December, 2010.

Questions before the AAR

- Taxability of the transfer of shares of the German company in India under the provisions of the Act read

with the provisions of the tax treaty.

- Requirement of withholding tax in India for the applicant.

Applicant’s contention

- In the present case, as per the FMV report, the ratio of the assets of the Indian company to the assets of the German company was merely 5.40%; therefore, the shares of the German company could not said to have derived their value “substantially” from the assets located in India. Thus, the said transaction of transfer of shares of the German company did not qualify for taxation in India under section 9(1)(i) of the Act.

¹ AAR No. 1232 of 2012

- Further, as per Article 13(4) of the tax treaty, capital gains, if any arising on transfer of shares of a German company was taxable only in Germany.
- In addition, there was no question of transfer of any other asset, viz. controlling interest, as it did not constitute a capital asset distinct from the shares. Alternatively, as per Article 13(5) of the tax treaty, even if any such distinct capital asset was being transferred, the same shall be taxable in the country of residence of the transferor, i.e., Germany.
- Therefore, in the absence of any taxability in India on the above transaction, there was no liability to withhold tax relying on the Supreme Court decision in the case of GE India.²

Revenue's contentions

While the Revenue did not object to the applicant's contentions under the Act and tax treaty, it was submitted that in case of any discrepancy in the FMV report, the tax officer would appropriately consider the same,

as per the provisions of the Act.

AAR's ruling

- Placing reliance on the FMV report from an independent valuer, the AAR agreed that the shares of the German company could not be said to have derived their value "substantially" from the assets located in India. Therefore, the transfer of said shares held was not deemed to have been situated in India, and thus, not taxable in India under section 9(1)(i) of the Act.
- Further, Article 13(4) of tax treaty shall be applicable in the instant case, and therefore, the capital gains, if any, could be brought to tax only in Germany.
- On the transfer of "controlling interest" as a distinct capital asset, the AAR acceded with the decision in the case of Vodafone³ and held the same to be not taxable in India by virtue of Article 13(5) of the tax treaty.
- In addition, the AAR reaffirmed the position held by the Andhra Pradesh High Court in the case of Sanofi⁴

with regard to the taxation of indirect transfer of shares.

- Therefore, the instant transaction of transfer of shares of the German company was held not taxable in India under the Act as well as the tax treaty.
- Further, applying the decision in the case of GE India, tax was not required to be withheld by the applicant in India.

The takeaways

- This ruling recites the condition of "substantial value" for the purpose of taxation pursuant to the indirect transfer of shares.
- In addition, the ruling reaffirms the positions laid down in the case of Sanofi (supra) that the benefit of DTAA has to be given effect while taxing the indirect transfer of shares in India.

Let's talk

For a deeper discussion of how this issue might affect your business, please contact your local PwC advisor

² GE India Technology Cen. Private Limited v. CIT [2010] 193 Taxman 234 (SC)

³ Vodafone International Holdings BV v. Union of India [2011] 198 Taxman 418 (SC)

⁴ Sanofi Pasteur Holding SA v. Department of Revenue [2013] 30 taxmann.com 222 (Andhra Pradesh)

Our Offices

Ahmedabad

1701, 17th Floor, Shapath V,
Opp. Karnavati Club,
S G Highway,
Ahmedabad – 380051
Gujarat
+91-79 3091 7000

Hyderabad

Plot no. 77/A, 8-2-624/A/1, 4th
Floor, Road No. 10, Banjara Hills,
Hyderabad – 500034,
Telangana
+91-40 44246000

Gurgaon

Building No. 10, Tower - C
17th & 18th Floor,
DLF Cyber City,
Gurgaon – 122002
Haryana
+91-124 330 6000

Bengaluru

6th Floor
Millenia Tower 'D'
1 & 2, Murphy Road, Ulsoor,
Bengaluru – 560 008
Karnataka
+91-80 4079 7000

Kolkata

56 & 57, Block DN.
Ground Floor, A- Wing
Sector - V, Salt Lake
Kolkata – 700 091,
West Bengal
+91-033 2357 9101/
4400 1111

Pune

7th Floor, Tower A - Wing 1,
Business Bay, Airport Road,
Yerwada, Pune – 411 006
Maharashtra
+91-20 4100 4444

Chennai

8th Floor
Prestige Palladium Bayan
129-140 Greaves Road
Chennai – 600 006
Tamil Nadu
+91 44 4228 5000

Mumbai

PwC House
Plot No. 18A,
Guru Nanak Road (Station Road),
Bandra (West), Mumbai – 400 050
Maharashtra
+91-22 6689 1000

For more information

Contact us at
pwctrs.knowledgemanagement@in.pwc.com

About PwC

At PwC, our purpose is to build trust in society and solve important problems. We're a network of firms in 157 countries with more than 223,000 people who are committed to delivering quality in assurance, advisory and tax services. Find out more and tell us what matters to you by visiting us at www.pwc.com.

In India, PwC has offices in these cities: Ahmedabad, Bengaluru, Chennai, Delhi NCR (Gurgaon), Hyderabad, Kolkata, Mumbai and Pune. For more information about PwC India's service offerings, visit www.pwc.com/in

PwC refers to the PwC International network and/or one or more of its member firms, each of which is a separate, independent and distinct legal entity. Please see www.pwc.com/structure for further details.

©2018 PwC. All rights reserved

Follow us on:



For private circulation only

This publication has been prepared for general guidance on matters of interest only, and does not constitute professional advice. You should not act upon the information contained in this publication without obtaining specific professional advice. No representation or warranty (express or implied) is given as to the accuracy or completeness of the information contained in this publication, and, to the extent permitted by law, PwCPL, its members, employees and agents accept no liability, and disclaim all responsibility, for the consequences of you or anyone else acting, or refraining to act, in reliance on the information contained in this publication or for any decision based on it. Without prior permission of PwCPL, this publication may not be quoted in whole or in part or otherwise referred to in any documents.

© 2018 PricewaterhouseCoopers Private Limited. All rights reserved. In this document, "PwC" refers to PricewaterhouseCoopers Private Limited (a limited liability company in India having Corporate Identity Number or CIN : U74140WB1983PTC036093), which is a member firm of PricewaterhouseCoopers International Limited (PwCIL), each member firm of which is a separate legal entity.