Taxability should be determined by reading tax treaty and its protocol together – protocol is not independent of the tax treaty

July 16, 2018

In brief

The Delhi bench of the Income-tax Appellate Tribunal (Tribunal), in a recent ruling, inter-alia, held that the taxability has to be decided after taking into account the provisions of the Double Taxation Avoidance Agreement (tax treaty) along with its protocol. A protocol is to be considered as an addendum to the tax treaty and not to be viewed as an independent document. Any benefit conferred, expanded or reduced by the protocol is to be applicable even if there is no dispute in the terms of the tax treaty.

In detail

Facts

- The taxpayer was incorporated in Sweden and was engaged in the field of telecommunication and mobile telephony. The taxpayer had a branch office in India.
- The taxpayer, inter-alia, earned fee from Indian concerns being its associated enterprises for the supply of technical personnel engaged in installation and maintenance of mobile network system under contracts carried by such enterprises.
- The taxpayer computed a net tax loss, after aggregating revenues from all the streams (including the above fees) and deducting common expenses therefrom.
- During the assessment proceedings, the tax officer (TO) opined that the fee earned from Indian concerns was in the nature of fees for technical services (FTS), as per the India-Sweden tax treaty, and it should have been taxed on gross basis without allowing any deduction of expenses.
- The TO took note of an advance ruling obtained by the taxpayer in an earlier year, wherein it was held

- that fee received by the taxpayer was FTS in terms of the tax treaty and was taxable on gross basis.²
- The Commissioner of Income-tax (Appeals) upheld the TO's order. The aggrieved taxpayer filed an appeal before the Tribunal.

Issue before the Tribunal³

Whether the fee earned by the taxpayer was taxable as FTS, considering the beneficial provisions of the protocol to the India-Sweden tax treaty, entered into after the pronouncement of the earlier advance ruling in the taxpayer's own case?

³ Another issue with respect to allocation of expenses was restored to the file of the TO for fresh examination and has not been discussed in this alert.



¹ ITA No. 893/ Del/ 2006

² The Ruling read the provisions of section 44D of the Income-tax Act, 1961, which provides that a foreign company earning FTS income from India, pursuant to an agreement entered after 31 March, 1976, but before 01 April, 2003, is to be offered to tax without deducting any expenditure.

Taxpayer's contentions

- The advance ruling delivered in the taxpayer's own case considered provisions of the India-Sweden tax treaty as notified in March 1989. The new tax treaty was notified in December 1997 and governed the year under consideration.
- The Protocol appended to the new tax treaty in June 1997 incorporated the Most Favoured Nation clause, whereby the "make available" clause, as provided in India-Finland tax treaty, had to be read into the India-Sweden tax treaty.
- Although the advance ruling in the taxpayer's case attained finality, the taxability would have to be reconsidered in light of the protocol to the new tax treaty. The advance ruling would be binding, save and except a subsequent change in the relevant provisions of the Income-tax Act, 1961 or the tax treaty.

Revenue's contention

The Protocol had no application in the present case, as it can only be resorted to if there is some dispute on the terms of the tax treaty.

Tribunal's ruling

- A protocol to the tax treaty is to be considered as its part and parcel. A protocol completes the tax treaty. Therefore, the provisions of the protocol conferring, expanding or reducing a particular benefit, which is absent in the tax treaty, are to be applied to that extent.
- The protocol should not be viewed as a document independent of the tax treaty and has to be considered as its addendum.
- Considering that the tax treaty under which the advance ruling in the taxpayer's case was rendered has been substituted, the arguments of the taxpayer in the light of the

- new tax treaty would have to be considered.
- As the TO passed the order simply on the basis of the advance ruling in the taxpayer's case for an earlier year, without considering the substituted tax treaty, the case was remanded back to the TO for deciding the issue afresh.

The takeaways

This decision reaffirms the position that a Protocol to the tax treaty has to be considered as its addendum, and if it extends or reduces a benefit absent in the tax treaty, the provisions of the Protocol will be applicable to that extent. The provisions of the Protocol to the tax treaty are to be followed in all situations, even if there is no dispute on the terms of the tax treaty.

Let's talk

For a deeper discussion of how this issue might affect your business, please contact your local PwC advisor

PwC Page 2

Our Offices

Ahmedabad

1701, 17th Floor, Shapath V, Opp. Karnavati Club, S G Highway, Ahmedabad – 380051 Gujarat +91-79 3091 7000

Hyderabad

Plot no. 77/A, 8-2-624/A/1, 4th Floor, Road No. 10, Banjara Hills, Hyderabad – 500034, Telangana +91-40 44246000

Gurgaon

Building No. 10, Tower - C 17th & 18th Floor, DLF Cyber City, Gurgaon – 122002 Haryana +91-124 330 6000

Bengaluru

6th Floor Millenia Tower 'D' 1 & 2, Murphy Road, Ulsoor, Bengaluru – 560 008 Karnataka +91-80 4079 7000

Kolkata

56 & 57, Block DN. Ground Floor, A- Wing Sector - V, Salt Lake Kolkata – 700 091, West Bengal +91-033 2357 9101/ 4400 1111

Pune

7th Floor, Tower A - Wing 1, Business Bay, Airport Road, Yerwada, Pune – 411 006 Maharashtra +91-20 4100 4444

Chennai

8th Floor Prestige Palladium Bayan 129-140 Greams Road Chennai – 600 006 Tamil Nadu +91 44 4228 5000

Mumbai

PwC House Plot No. 18A, Guru Nanak Road(Station Road), Bandra (West), Mumbai – 400 050 Maharashtra +91-22 6689 1000

For more information

Contact us at pwctrs.knowledgemanagement@in.pwc.com

About PwC

At PwC, our purpose is to build trust in society and solve important problems. We're a network of firms in 157 countries with more than 223,000 people who are committed to delivering quality in assurance, advisory and tax services. Find out more and tell us what matters to you by visiting us at www.pwc.com.

In India, PwC has offices in these cities: Ahmedabad, Bengaluru, Chennai, Delhi NCR (Gurgaon), Hyderabad, Kolkata, Mumbai and Pune. For more information about PwC India's service offerings, visit www.pwc.com/in

PwC refers to the PwC International network and/or one or more of its member firms, each of which is a separate, independent and distinct legal entity. Please see www.pwc.com/structure for further details.

©2018 PwC. All rights reserved

Follow us on:









For private circulation only

This publication has been prepared for general guidance on matters of interest only, and does not constitute professional advice. You should not act upon the information contained in this publication without obtaining specific professional advice. No representation or warranty (express or implied) is given as to the accuracy or completeness of the information contained in this publication, and, to the extent permitted by law, PwCPL, its members, employees and agents accept no liability, and disclaim all responsibility, for the consequences of you or anyone else acting, or refraining to act, in reliance on the information contained in this publication or for any decision based on it. Without prior permission of PwCPL, this publication may not be quoted in whole or in part or otherwise referred to in any documents.

© 2018 PricewaterhouseCoopers Private Limited. All rights reserved. In this document, "PwC" refers to PricewaterhouseCoopers Private Limited (a limited liability company in India having Corporate Identity Number or CIN: U74140WB1983PTC036093), which is a member firm of PricewaterhouseCoopers International Limited (PwCIL), each member firm of which is a separate legal entity.