Tax Insights

from India Tax & Regulatory Services

Notice initiating penalty under section 271(1)(c) of the Act should clearly and explicitly specify the reasons for levying the penalty

July 28, 2017

In brief

In a recent decision¹ of the Telangana and Andhra Pradesh High Court (HC), the order of the Incometax Appellate Tribunal (Tribunal) was upheld to drop penalty under section 271(1)(c) of the Incometax Act, 1961 (Act). It is a prerequisite for the tax officer (TO) to specify the grounds, i.e., concealment of income or furnishing of inaccurate particulars under which penalty proceedings were initiated. In the absence of a clear and unambiguous finding, the penalty order should have been unsustainable in law.

In detail

Facts of the case

- The taxpayer was an Indian resident, who derived income from house property and interest on bank deposits during the assessment year 2010-11 and reported a loss in its return of income.
- While framing the assessment under section 143(3) of the Act, the tax officer (TO) made additions/ disallowances on account of excess interest claimed and unexplained cash deposits and reduced the loss claimed by the taxpayer.
- Further, a show-cause notice under section 271(1)(c) of the Act was

¹ I.T.T.A. No. 684 of 2016 ² 358 ITR 593 issued initiating the penalty proceedings against the taxpayer. In response to that, the taxpayer contended that penalty should not have been levied as disallowance of interest expenditure was on an agreed basis and for requirement to produce strict proof of evidence in respect to unexplained cash credit. The taxpayer had accepted the order to buy peace and avoid protracted litigation.

- The TO rejected the taxpayer's explanation and levied minimum penalty for concealment/ furnishing of inaccurate particulars of income.
- The matter was carried to the HC by the revenue authority as the Tribunal

had ruled in favour of the taxpayer.

Issues before the High Court

Whether the Tribunal was correct in deleting the penalty levied under section 271(1)(c) of the Act by the TO in view of the provisions of section 271(1B) of the Act and decision of Supreme Court the case of Mak Data Private Limited², wherein, it was specifically held that the TO was not required to record his satisfaction in a particular manner or reduce it into writing.

Revenue's contentions

• In the reply to the showcause notice, the taxpayer explained the lapses and negligence on its part,



which indicated that it was fully aware about the allegations levelled against it.

• Raising the issue of lack of clarity in the show-cause notice, for the first time before the Tribunal, was an afterthought and the taxpayer should not have been given benefit of doubt for the same.

Taxpayer's contentions

The taxpayer emphasised that there should not have been any uncertainty in the allegations that form the basis for the proposed penalty.

High Court's decision

• In the penalty proceedings initiated under section

271(1)(c) of the Act the specific ground that forms the basis thereof has to be spelt out in clear and unambiguous manner.

- The HC observed that • concealment of income and furnishing of inaccurate particulars of income were two different acts. While concealment was an act of omission, furnishing of inaccurate particulars was an act of commission. The consequences of the above acts being penal in nature, the charge must be unambiguous so that the taxpayer was provided a fair opportunity to defend its case.
- In the absence of clear finding,

no relief could be allowed to Revenue that the taxpayer did not challenge the validity of the notice earlier.

The takeaways

This decision reaffirmed that positions upheld by the other HCs that the revenue authorities should clearly specify the basis for initiation of penalty in the notice itself. Issuance of printed form without specifying the particular ground will not satisfy the requirement of law.

Let's talk

For a deeper discussion of how this issue might affect your business, please contact your local PwC advisor

Our Offices

Ahmedabad

1701, 17th Floor, Shapath V, Opp. Karnavati Club, S G Highway, Ahmedabad – 380051 Gujarat +91-79 3091 7000

Hyderabad

Plot no. 77/A, 8-2-624/A/1, 4th Floor, Road No. 10, Banjara Hills, Hyderabad – 500034, Telangana +91-40 44246000

Gurgaon

Building No. 10, Tower - C 17th & 18th Floor, DLF Cyber City, Gurgaon – 122002 Haryana +91-124 330 6000

Bengaluru

6th Floor Millenia Tower 'D' 1 & 2, Murphy Road, Ulsoor, Bengaluru – 560 008 Karnataka +91-80 4079 7000

Kolkata

56 & 57, Block DN. Ground Floor, A- Wing Sector - V, Salt Lake Kolkata – 700 091, West Bengal +91-033 2357 9101/ 4400 1111

Pune

7th Floor, Tower A - Wing 1, Business Bay, Airport Road, Yerwada, Pune – 411 006 Maharashtra +91-20 4100 4444

Chennai

8th Floor Prestige Palladium Bayan 129-140 Greams Road Chennai – 600 006 Tamil Nadu +91 44 4228 5000

Mumbai

PwC House Plot No. 18A, Guru Nanak Road(Station Road), Bandra (West), Mumbai – 400 050 Maharashtra +91-22 6689 1000

For more information

Contact us at <u>pwctrs.knowledgemanagement@in.pwc.com</u>

About PwC

At PwC, our purpose is to build trust in society and solve important problems. We're a network of firms in 157 countries with more than 223,000 people who are committed to delivering quality in assurance, advisory and tax services. Find out more and tell us what matters to you by visiting us at <u>www.pwc.com</u>.

In India, PwC has offices in these cities: Ahmedabad, Bengaluru, Chennai, Delhi NCR (Gurgaon), Hyderabad, Kolkata, Mumbai and Pune. For more information about PwC India's service offerings, visit <u>www.pwc.com/in</u>

PwC refers to the PwC International network and/or one or more of its member firms, each of which is a separate, independent and distinct legal entity. Please see <u>www.pwc.com/structure</u> for further details.

©2017 PwC. All rights reserved

Follow us on:



For private circulation only

This publication has been prepared for general guidance on matters of interest only, and does not constitute professional advice. You should not act upon the information contained in this publication without obtaining specific professional advice. No representation or warranty (express or implied) is given as to the accuracy or completeness of the information contained in this publication, and, to the extent permitted by law, PwCPL, its members, employees and agents accept no liability, and disclaim all responsibility, for the consequences of you or anyone else acting, or refraining to act, in reliance on the information contained in this publication or for any decision based on it. Without prior permission of PwCPL, this publication may not be quoted in whole or in part or otherwise referred to in any documents.

© 2017 PricewaterhouseCoopers Private Limited. All rights reserved. In this document, "PwC" refers to PricewaterhouseCoopers Private Limited (a limited liability company in India having Corporate Identity Number or CIN : U74140WB1983PTC036093), which is a member firm of PricewaterhouseCoopers International Limited (PwCIL), each member firm of which is a separate legal entity.