# Retention money is not taxable as 'income', under both normal provisions and MAT regime

March 14, 2017

# In brief

The Kolkata Bench of the Income-tax Appellate Tribunal (Tribunal) in the case¹ of a company engaged in executing turnkey contracts held that

- retention money was not income under the normal provisions of the Income-tax Act, 1961 (the Act)
- retention money, though credited to the profit and loss account, was to be excluded while computing book profits under minimum alternate tax (MAT) regime as stipulated under section 115JB of the Act.

# In detail

### **Facts**

- The taxpayer was a company engaged in the business of manufacture and sale of metallurgical machinery, materials handling and conveying plant/ machinery/ spares and coal washing plant on a turnkey contract basis.
- Under the terms of turnkey contracts, a certain percentage of the value of contract was retained by the taxpayer's customers till the successful trial run and final acceptance by the customer.
- The taxpayer credited the said retention money to its profit and loss account, as per the mercantile system of

- accounting followed by the taxpayer.
- During the year under consideration, the taxpayer was liable to tax under the MAT regime.
- The taxpayer claimed that its right over the retention money was contingent until acceptance by the customer. Therefore, this amount could not be considered as income under the normal provisions of the Act. Furthermore, the same should be excluded while computing the book profit under MAT regime.
- The Commissioner of Income-tax (Appeals) [CIT(A)] accepted the taxpayer's contentions,

- stating that the retention money had not accrued to it and could not be regarded as income. The CIT(A) further held that the MAT could not be levied on notional income which had not accrued to the taxpayer.
- Aggrieved by the CIT(A)'s order, the Revenue preferred an appeal before the Tribunal.

# Issues before the Tribunal

- Was the retention money income taxable under the under the normal provisions of the Act?
- Was the retention money credited to the profit and loss account to be reduced for computing book profits under the MAT regime?<sup>2</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> There were few more issues discussed in the ruling, which have not been covered in the instant news alert.



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> ITA No. 100/Kol/2011

## Taxpayer's contentions

- Right to receive the retention money was contingent till the time trial run was successfully concluded and final settlement was obtained.
- Therefore, until and unless all obligations under the contract were fulfilled, the retention money could not be regarded as income. In this regard, the taxpayer placed reliance on a decision of the Calcutta High Court.<sup>3</sup>
- The taxpayer contended that if a receipt was not in nature of income, it could not be considered for the purposes of computing book profits under the MAT regime, even though it was credited to the profit and loss account. In this regard, the taxpayer placed reliance on various Tribunal decisions.<sup>4</sup>

#### Revenue's contention

- The taxpayer was following mercantile system of accounting and had to account for all receipts on accrual basis. Accordingly, retention money could not be excluded from the taxpayer's income.
- Section 115JB of the Act clearly laid down the sums that had to be excluded from, and included in, the profits as per the profit and loss account prepared in accordance with the Companies Act, 1956.

  Accordingly, only those items were to be reduced from the

net profits which have been stated in section 115JB of the Act, and retention money was not one of them.

#### Tribunal ruling

- With respect to computation under normal provisions, the taxpayer's reliance on the Calcutta High Court's decision<sup>5</sup> was appropriate. The retention money could not be regarded as the taxpayer's income, as it would become legally due to the taxpayer only on successful completion of the contract.
- With respect to MAT, the Tribunal held that levying MAT on receipts which were not in the nature of income would defeat two fundamental principles, viz., it would levy tax on a receipt which was not in nature of income at all, and secondly, it would not result in arriving at real working results of the company. This principle was also upheld by a coordinate bench in another case<sup>6</sup>.
- Amounts taxable as income, but exempt under a specific provision of the Act, were to be included while computing book profits, as held by the Special Bench of the Tribunal. However, where a receipt was not in the nature of income at all, it could not be included while computing book profits under the MAT regime.
- Therefore, the retention money could not be regarded as

income even for the purpose of computing book profits under MAT regime, though it was credited to the profit and loss account.

# The takeaways

- This ruling is welcome since it supports the position that notional income should not be subjected to tax under MAT regime irrespective of the fact that the same is credited to the profit and loss account.
- Furthermore, this ruling reaffirms the principle that unless the taxpayer has a right to receive it, the amount cannot be taxed as income. The right to receive retention money arises on satisfaction of the obligations under the contract. Therefore, retention money does not partake the character of income.
- It is important to note that Income Computation
  Disclosure Standard (ICDS) –
  III on construction contracts, applicable from financial year
  2016-17, provide that retention money is to be considered as income. To this extent, the applicability of the abovediscussed principles in ICDS regime will need to be evaluated.

#### Let's talk

For a deeper discussion of how this issue might affect your business, please contact your local PwC advisor.

PwC Page 2

<sup>3 179</sup> ITR 8

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> 7 SOT 51, 90 ITD 654, 4 SOT 376 and ITA No. 144/Kol/2013

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> 179 ITR 8

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> ITA No. 144/Kol/2013

<sup>7 131</sup> TTJ 514

# **Our Offices**

#### Ahmedabad

1701, 17th Floor, Shapath V, Opp. Karnavati Club, S G Highway, Ahmedabad – 380051 Gujarat +91-79 3091 7000

# Hyderabad

Plot no. 77/A, 8-2-624/A/1, 4th Floor, Road No. 10, Banjara Hills, Hyderabad – 500034, Telangana +91-40 44246000

### Gurgaon

Building No. 10, Tower - C 17th & 18th Floor, DLF Cyber City, Gurgaon – 122002 Haryana +91-124 330 6000

# Bengaluru

6th Floor Millenia Tower 'D' 1 & 2, Murphy Road, Ulsoor, Bengaluru – 560 008 Karnataka +91-80 4079 7000

### Kolkata

56 & 57, Block DN. Ground Floor, A- Wing Sector - V, Salt Lake Kolkata – 700 091, West Bengal +91-033 2357 9101/ 4400 1111

#### Pune

7th Floor, Tower A - Wing 1, Business Bay, Airport Road, Yerwada, Pune – 411 006 Maharashtra +91-20 4100 4444

# Chennai

8th Floor Prestige Palladium Bayan 129-140 Greams Road Chennai – 600 006 Tamil Nadu +91 44 4228 5000

#### Mumbai

PwC House Plot No. 18A, Guru Nanak Road(Station Road), Bandra (West), Mumbai – 400 050 Maharashtra +91-22 6689 1000

# For more information

Contact us at pwctrs.knowledgemanagement@in.pwc.com

## About PwC

At PwC, our purpose is to build trust in society and solve important problems. We're a network of firms in 157 countries with more than 223,000 people who are committed to delivering quality in assurance, advisory and tax services. Find out more and tell us what matters to you by visiting us at <a href="https://www.pwc.com">www.pwc.com</a>.

In India, PwC has offices in these cities: Ahmedabad, Bengaluru, Chennai, Delhi NCR (Gurgaon), Hyderabad, Kolkata, Mumbai and Pune. For more information about PwC India's service offerings, visit <a href="www.pwc.com/in">www.pwc.com/in</a>

PwC refers to the PwC International network and/or one or more of its member firms, each of which is a separate, independent and distinct legal entity. Please see <a href="https://www.pwc.com/structure">www.pwc.com/structure</a> for further details.

©2017 PwC. All rights reserved

# Follow us on:









For private circulation only

This publication has been prepared for general guidance on matters of interest only, and does not constitute professional advice. You should not act upon the information contained in this publication without obtaining specific professional advice. No representation or warranty (express or implied) is given as to the accuracy or completeness of the information contained in this publication, and, to the extent permitted by law, PwCPL, its members, employees and agents accept no liability, and disclaim all responsibility, for the consequences of you or anyone else acting, or refraining to act, in reliance on the information contained in this publication or for any decision based on it. Without prior permission of PwCPL, this publication may not be quoted in whole or in part or otherwise referred to in any documents.

© 2017 PricewaterhouseCoopers Private Limited. All rights reserved. In this document, "PwC" refers to PricewaterhouseCoopers Private Limited (a limited liability company in India having Corporate Identity Number or CIN: U74140WB1983PTC036093), which is a member firm of PricewaterhouseCoopers International Limited (PwCIL), each member firm of which is a separate legal entity.