Tax Insights

from India Tax & Regulatory Services

Actual letting out of property during year not necessary for claiming vacancy allowance while computing "income from house property"

July 20, 2016

In brief

The Pune Income-tax Appellate Tribunal (the Tribunal), in the taxpayer's¹ case, held that under section 23(1)(c) of the Income-tax Act, 1961 (Act), annual letting value (ALV) of a property that was vacant during the entire year was to be taken as Nil if the taxpayer intended to, and had taken appropriate efforts to, let the property out.

In detail	Both these properties	nained vacant during the sessment year (AY) 2009-10.(TO) held that section 23(1)(c) of the Act was not applicable in a situation
Facts	assessment year (AY) 2009-10.	23(1)(c) of the Act was not
• The taxpayer ¹ , an individual, <i>inter alia,</i> owned two commercial properties at Nashik, viz. Property 1 and Property 2.	 The taxpayer claimed the ALV for both properties as "Nil" under section 23(1)(c) of the Act. During the assessment 	applicable in a situation where the property was not let out during the year. Accordingly, the TO determined the ALV of the properties as below:

Property	ALV	Basis
Property 1	INR 96,000	Ad hoc valuation
Property 2	INR 151,200	Based on the actual rent received by the taxpayer during AY 2007-08

• The Commissioner of Income-tax (Appeals) [CIT(A)] determined the ALV of Property 1 at INR 40,000, which was agreed to by the taxpayer. The CIT(A) upheld the ALV of Property 2 at INR 151,200 as adopted by the TO. The CIT(A) relied

¹ ITA No. 747/PN/2014

on the decision of the Andhra Pradesh High Court in the case of Vivek Jain².

Issue before the Tribunal

Would section 23(1)(c) of the Act be applicable in a situation where the property had not been let out at all during the previous year?

Tribunal's ruling

The Tribunal held that section 23(1)(c) of the Act also covered a situation where the property

² Vivek Jain *v.* ACIT [2011] 337 ITR 74 (AP)



had remained vacant during the whole year. The relevant extract of section 23(1)(c) of the Act, on which the Tribunal relied, is reproduced below:

"23(1)(c) where the property or any part of the <u>property is</u> <u>let</u> and <u>was vacant during the</u> <u>whole</u> or part of the previous year...

- The Tribunal interpreted the provisions of section 23(1)(c) of the Act and held that:
 - a situation where the property was let during the previous year could not coexist with one where it was also simultaneously vacant for the whole year. The words "let" and "vacant" were mutually exclusive;
 - the underlying principle of the section had to be viewed with regard to the intention, along with the efforts put in by the taxpayer in letting out the property.
 - If the taxpayer intended to let the property and took appropriate efforts toward letting the property, but ultimately failed to let the same, then the actual rent received would have to be considered as Nil (being less than the sum that might reasonably be expected to be

received on letting the property); and

- The expression "property is let" did not mean actual letting out of the property. While concluding thus, the Tribunal considered the language of section 23(3) of the Act, where the words used were, "house is <u>actually</u> let out." If "property is let" were to be interpreted to mean the actual letting of the property, then there would have been no need to use the word, "actually" in section 23(3) of the Act.
- Property 2 was let out by the taxpayer in earlier years, revealing the intention of the taxpayer to let out the same. Thus, the Tribunal held that the ALV of that property had to be taken as Nil.

The takeaways

The Tribunal interpreted section 23(1)(c) of the Act to include a situation where the property had remained vacant during the entire year, if the taxpayer had demonstrated the intention to let out the property. This interpretation can be a positive one for taxpayers (including real estate developers having vacant commercial properties) wherein notional income may not be subject to tax for vacant

properties as "deemed to be let out properties."

However, one will have to examine whether this interpretation can be extended to real estate developers having unsold stock of finished flats where, based on the decision of the Delhi High Court in the case of Ansal Housing Finance and Leasing Co. Limited³, notional income can be taxed on account of such finished flats as "deemed to be let out property". It would have to be examined whether the interpretation of the Tribunal of the "intention to let out, together with efforts put in by the taxpayer for letting out" can be extended to the "intention to sell the finished flats together with efforts put in by the taxpaver for selling the same".

Let's talk

For a deeper discussion of how this issue might affect your business, please contact:

Tax & Regulatory Services – Financial Services

Gautam Mehra, *Mumbai* +91-22 6689 1154 gautam.mehra@in.pwc.com

Bhavin Shah, *Mumbai* +91-22 6689 1122 bhavin.shah@in.pwc.com

Construction Limited [2012] 354 ITR 180 (Delhi)

³ CIT *v.* Ansal Housing Finance and Leasing Co Limited and Ansal Properties and Indus Limited and Ansal Housing and

Our Offices

Ahmedabad

1701, 17th Floor, Shapath V, Opp. Karnavati Club, S G Highway, Ahmedabad – 380051 Gujarat +91-79 3091 7000

Hyderabad

Plot no. 77/A, 8-2-624/A/1, 4th Floor, Road No. 10, Banjara Hills, Hyderabad – 500034, Telangana +91-40 44246000

Gurgaon

Building No. 10, Tower - C 17th & 18th Floor, DLF Cyber City, Gurgaon – 122002 Haryana +91-124 330 6000

Bengaluru

6th Floor Millenia Tower 'D' 1 & 2, Murphy Road, Ulsoor, Bengaluru – 560 008 Karnataka +91-80 4079 7000

Kolkata

56 & 57, Block DN. Ground Floor, A- Wing Sector - V, Salt Lake Kolkata - 700 091 West Bengal +91-033 2357 9101/ 4400 1111

Pune

7th Floor, Tower A - Wing 1, Business Bay, Airport Road, Yerwada, Pune – 411 006 Maharashtra +91-20 4100 4444

Chennai

8th Floor Prestige Palladium Bayan 129-140 Greams Road Chennai – 600 006 Tamil Nadu +91 44 4228 5000

Mumbai

PwC House Plot No. 18A, Guru Nanak Road(Station Road), Bandra (West), Mumbai – 400 050 Maharashtra +91-22 6689 1000

For more information

Contact us at pwctrs.knowledgemanagement@in.pwc.com

About PwC

At PwC, our purpose is to build trust in society and solve important problems. We're a network of firms in 157 countries with more than 208,000 people who are committed to delivering quality in assurance, advisory and tax services. Find out more and tell us what matters to you by visiting us at <u>www.pwc.com</u>.

In India, PwC has offices in these cities: Ahmedabad, Bengaluru, Chennai, Delhi NCR (Gurgaon), Hyderabad, Kolkata, Mumbai and Pune. For more information about PwC India's service offerings, visit <u>www.pwc.com/in</u>

PwC refers to the PwC International network and/or one or more of its member firms, each of which is a separate, independent and distinct legal entity in separate lines of service. Please see <u>www.pwc.com/structure</u> for further details.

©2016 PwC. All rights reserved

Follow us on:



For private circulation only

This publication has been prepared for general guidance on matters of interest only, and does not constitute professional advice. You should not act upon the information contained in this publication without obtaining specific professional advice. No representation or warranty (express or implied) is given as to the accuracy or completeness of the information contained in this publication, and, to the extent permitted by law, PwCPL, its members, employees and agents accept no liability, and disclaim all responsibility, for the consequences of you or anyone else acting, or refraining to act, in reliance on the information contained in this publication or for any decision based on it. Without prior permission of PwCPL, this publication may not be quoted in whole or in part or otherwise referred to in any documents.

© 2016 PricewaterhouseCoopers Private Limited. All rights reserved. In this document, "PwC" refers to PricewaterhouseCoopers Private Limited (a limited liability company in India having Corporate Identity Number or CIN : U74140WB1983PTC036093), which is a member firm of PricewaterhouseCoopers International Limited (PwCIL), each member firm of which is a separate legal entity.