Development rights not transferred by member of AOP (formed only for efficient pooling of resources) not to be taxed under section 45(3)

June 14, 2016

In brief

The Pune Bench of the Income-tax Appellate Tribunal (Tribunal) has held in a case where the capital asset (development rights) was not transferred by the member to the association of persons (AOP), and no amount was credited by the AOP to the account of such member, the AOP could not be taxed under section 45(3) of the Income-tax Act, 1961 (Act).

In detail

Facts

- The taxpayer¹ had acquired development rights of a land parcel.
- The taxpayer, along with A Enterprise and B Construction, formed an AOP for efficient pooling of resources. Each member's responsibilities were as given below:
 - Taxpayer: To contribute the development rights;
 - A Enterprise: To contribute the Transferable Development Rights (TDR);
 - B Construction: To provide all materials required for construction and finance for all the expenses.

- The taxpayer had not transferred the development rights to the AOP.
- The taxpayer had received an interest-free security deposit of INR 2.5 millions from B Construction for protection from any losses that might arise in the project. The security deposit was reflected as a liability in its balance sheet.
- This security deposit was refunded back to B Construction in the financial year (FY) 2014-15.
- The value of the property, as mentioned in the joint venture agreement, was INR 20 millions.
- The Tax Officer (TO)
 considered that the interestfree security deposit was
 received by the taxpayer for

- assignment of development rights to the AOP. Accordingly, the TO taxed the difference between INR 2.5 millions and the cost of acquisition as capital gains in the taxpayer's hands.
- The Commissioner of Income-tax (Appeals) upheld the TO's order.

Question before the Income-tax Appellate Tribunal

Should the transaction of contribution of development rights to the AOP, but not actual transfer thereof, be subject to capital gains tax under section 45(3) of the Act?

Taxpayer's contentions

 For applying section 45(3) of the Act, two conditions should have been satisfied:

 (a) the amount of value

¹ TS-313-ITAT-2016 (Pune-Tribunal)



- should have been shown as an asset; and (b) the amount should have been credited to the contributor.
- Since no asset was transferred to the AOP, no amount was recorded in the books of the AOP. Hence, section 45(3) was not applicable. The security deposit received by the taxpayer could not be regarded as the full value of consideration.

Revenue's contention

 The value of the property, i.e. INR 20 million mentioned in the joint venture agreement was the price at which the asset was transferred to the AOP.

Tribunal's ruling

• Since the taxpayer held the asset and did not transfer it to the AOP, there could not have been any capital gains under section 45(3) of the Act.

 Even otherwise, the entire security deposit was refunded to B Construction in a later FY. Hence, the security deposit was not chargeable to tax.

The takeaways

The Tribunal has accepted the taxpayer's contention that section 45(3) is a deeming section and hence should be construed strictly. Thus, it has held that if a capital asset has not been actually transferred to the AOP, and no amount credited to the member's account [condition specified in section 45(3)], there can be no capital gains tax.

This decision may be helpful in situations where the tax authorities conclude that a particular arrangement should be treated as an AOP. In such cases, it may be possible to argue that there should not be any capital gains tax in the hands of members on deemed introduction of a capital asset to the AOP.

It is important to note that the Central Board of Direct Taxes has recently issued circular no. 7 dated 7 March, 2016 laying down the guiding principles for the formation of an AOP. In the light of these principles, if the tax authorities contend that the arrangement does not result in an AOP, then the implication under section 2(47)(v) of the Act on similar transactions may need to be evaluated.

Let's talk

For a deeper discussion of how this issue might affect your business, please contact:

Tax & Regulatory Services – Financial Services

Gautam Mehra, *Mumbai* +91-22 6689 1154 gautam.mehra@in.pwc.com

Bhavin Shah, *Mumbai* +91-22 6689 1122 bhavin.shah@in.pwc.com

PwC Page 2

Our Offices

Ahmedabad

1701, 17th Floor, Shapath V, Opp. Karnavati Club, S G Highway, Ahmedabad – 380051 Gujarat +91-79 3091 7000

Hyderabad

Plot no. 77/A, 8-2-624/A/1, 4th Floor, Road No. 10, Banjara Hills, Hyderabad – 500034sx Telangana +91-40 44246000

Gurgaon

Building No. 10, Tower - C 17th & 18th Floor, DLF Cyber City, Gurgaon – 122002 Haryana +91-124 330 6000

Bengaluru

6th Floor Millenia Tower 'D' 1 & 2, Murphy Road, Ulsoor, Bengaluru – 560 008 Karnataka +91-80 4079 7000

Kolkata

56 & 57, Block DN. Ground Floor, A- Wing Sector - V, Salt Lake Kolkata - 700 091 West Bengal +91-033 2357 9101/ 4400 1111

Pune

7th Floor, Tower A - Wing 1, Business Bay, Airport Road, Yerwada, Pune – 411 006 Maharashtra +91-20 4100 4444

Chennai

8th Floor Prestige Palladium Bayan 129-140 Greams Road Chennai – 600 006 Tamil Nadu +91 44 4228 5000

Mumbai

PwC House Plot No. 18A, Guru Nanak Road (Station Road), Bandra (West), Mumbai – 400 050 Maharashtra +91-22 6689 1000

For more information

Contact us at pwctrs.knowledgemanagement@in.pwc.com

About PwC

At PwC, our purpose is to build trust in society and solve important problems. We're a network of firms in 157 countries with more than 208,000 people who are committed to delivering quality in assurance, advisory and tax services. Find out more and tell us what matters to you by visiting us at www.pwc.com.

In India, PwC has offices in these cities: Ahmedabad, Bengaluru, Chennai, Delhi NCR (Gurgaon), Hyderabad, Kolkata, Mumbai and Pune. For more information about PwC India's service offerings, visit www.pwc.com/in

PwC refers to the PwC International network and/or one or more of its member firms, each of which is a separate, independent and distinct legal entity in separate lines of service. Please see www.pwc.com/structure for further details.

©2016 PwC. All rights reserved

Follow us on:









For private circulation only

This publication has been prepared for general guidance on matters of interest only, and does not constitute professional advice. You should not act upon the information contained in this publication without obtaining specific professional advice. No representation or warranty (express or implied) is given as to the accuracy or completeness of the information contained in this publication, and, to the extent permitted by law, PwCPL, its members, employees and agents accept no liability, and disclaim all responsibility, for the consequences of you or anyone else acting, or refraining to act, in reliance on the information contained in this publication or for any decision based on it. Without prior permission of PwCPL, this publication may not be quoted in whole or in part or otherwise referred to in any documents.

© 2016 PricewaterhouseCoopers Private Limited. All rights reserved. In this document, "PwC" refers to PricewaterhouseCoopers Private Limited (a limited liability company in India having Corporate Identity Number or CIN: U74140WB1983PTC036093), which is a member firm of PricewaterhouseCoopers International Limited (PwCIL), each member firm of which is a separate legal entity.