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Is India ready for a paradigm shift?
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Traditionally, from the payment perspective, the healthcare model has been fee for service, where payment is made on the basis of the number of services provided. This model results in a definite conflict of interest from a patient’s perspective as the focus is on quantity rather than quality of service. However, this model is slowly being replaced by value-based care, where payment is outcome based and providers are rewarded according to the quality of the treatment received.

The major aims of value-based care are implementing continuum of care, enhancing patient experience, standardising outcome and cost of care, and treatment delivery through a collaborative chain of activities with measurable outcomes. The different value-based models range from bundled payment to shared risk and shared savings models, depending on the focus of care and financial flexibility.

The need for value-based care is realised because of increasing healthcare expenditure, excess healthcare costs attributed to unnecessary and inefficient services along with uncoordinated care. All these factors coupled with increased patient expectations have set the stage for the adoption of value-based healthcare, where the payment for care is tied to clinical outcomes and service quality.

Implementation of value-based care would require the building blocks of public financing, resource availability, utilisation of technology and a collaborative ecosystem. In the context of the Indian healthcare system, which largely operates on the fee for service model and has high out-of-pocket expenditure, inadequate infrastructure and technology support, implementing value-based care would require in-depth strategic and financial planning along with transformation of the delivery model. The Government is also expected to play a significant role by implementing enabling policies. Going forward, Ayushman Bharat, with its focus on Government funding and preventive as well as curative care, will lay the foundation for value-based care implementation in India.

Once implemented, value-based care will likely result in today’s fragmented care delivery evolving into tomorrow’s circle of care. If implemented as envisaged, in five years, we could look at saving almost 9 lakh lives and reducing healthcare cost by around INR 4,000 billion.
Is India ready to implement value-based care?

What would the impact of value-based care on India be?

Key questions we seek answers to

What is value-based care? What are its characteristics?

What are the different value-based care models?

Why do we need value-based care?
What is value-based care?

There are two major kinds of healthcare models (from a payment perspective): The traditional fee-for-service and the upcoming value-based care model.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Fee for service (FFS)</th>
<th>Value-based care</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Relevance</td>
<td>Traditional healthcare model</td>
<td>New age healthcare model</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reward</td>
<td>Quantity-based system in which fees are paid for every service provided</td>
<td>Quality-based system in which fees are paid based on the outcome of the treatment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient centricity</td>
<td>Creates a conflict of interest as it provides incentives to caregivers based on a higher number of visits, procedures, tests, treatment, etc., which may not be in line with patient health and wellness.</td>
<td>Patients are at the centre of care; providers are incentivised to provide appropriate care and treatment designed to promote health and wellness rather than excessive treatment and profit.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outcome measurement</td>
<td>Not done on a regular basis. Also, there are no defined metrics.</td>
<td>Reimbursements are usually linked to meeting particular performance criteria.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Industry discussions and PwC analysis
Value-based healthcare is a payment system that compensates healthcare providers in accordance with the quality of care provided to their patients.

- A value-based healthcare model prioritises patient-centric care.
- It incentivises healthcare providers to keep their patients healthy, which can lower healthcare costs.
- Healthcare providers are pushed to provide quality care that improves patient outcomes.


Industry discussions and PwC analysis
Value-based care aims to improve patient experience and reduce the cost of care.

### Aims of value-based care

| Implementing continuum of care | Integrates various aspects of care so that a patient can avail all necessary medical services via appropriate consultation and advice. This will help leverage the best possible treatment options for patients. |
| Enhancing patient experience | Treatment is provided in a transparent and cost-efficient manner in order to improve patient experience and increase reimbursement rates. |
| Standardising outcome and cost of care | Outcome measurement with the aim of providing quality and patient-centric care at a lower cost leads to higher patient engagement and satisfaction. |
| Engaging in outcome-based payment | Shift to outcome-based payment improves the service quality, ensuring optimum resource utilisation. |

Technology-enabled systems will ensure appropriate data availability and analysis to guide improvement measures.

*Source: PwC analysis*
Value-based healthcare planning is delivered through a collaborative chain of activities with measurable outcomes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Collaborative activities to deliver value-based healthcare</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Stakeholders</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>People</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Action</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Activities involved</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PwC analysis
At the healthcare-provider level, care delivery must lay emphasis on integrated, evidence-based care through shared decision making.

The process of care followed at the provider level would promote the aspect of shared decision making which would enhance the line of treatment and also ensure the best possible treatment is provided to the patient at a lower cost.

### What are the different value-based payment models?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Models</th>
<th>Principle</th>
<th>Description/details/examples</th>
<th>Financial risk to provider</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Bundled payment | • Single **collaborated payment for all services** in a particular condition such as pregnancy along with childbirth.  
• Payer **knows the payment amount upfront** instead of getting the final bill at the end of a treatment course. | • Provider benefits from the savings generated by **efficiencies within the bundle** and the payer would spend less.  
• Provider faces the potential risk of losing out on cost saving – e.g. if there is any complication.  
The following bundles were considered in one of the pilot projects in the USA:  
• **Replacement procedures**: Knee/hip  
• **Chronic conditions**: Diabetes, hypertension and coronary artery disease | Medium to high risk |
| Capitation models | • In this model, a provider or a group of organisations collects a **set payment per patient** for specified medical services from the payer.  
• These payments are usually in the form of a **monthly per patient fee**. | • **Single and comprehensive** payment for the patient  
• When the cost of the service provided is below the capped rate, providers would be rewarded. However, providers would be at high risk in case the cost exceeds the capped rate, and this extra cost would have to be borne by them. This could be the case with high-risk and chronic patients. | High risk |

Source: Various publications from Center for Healthcare Quality and Payment Reform  
Industry discussions and PwC analysis
### Pay for Performance

- **Principle:** Financial incentives/disincentives are linked to performance, and a bonus is awarded for exceeding a specific metric or a penalty is imposed for falling short of the threshold.

- **Description/details/examples:**
  - An example of an incentive linked to achieving the set goal:
  - A vaccination programme has a goal to vaccinate 70% of its patients by the age of 18 months in accordance with the national guidelines.
  - If any provider exceeds that goal and vaccinates 80% of the children, it would receive a bonus in addition to the FFS rates.

- **Financial risk to provider:** Low to moderate risk

### Patient-Centred Medical Home

- **Principle:** Driven by primary care focusing on building a team of professionals—specialist doctors, medical assistants, technicians, pharmacists (people responsible for coordinating patient care)

- **Description/details/examples:**
  - Mostly for patients with chronic conditions in order to reduce readmissions and emergency department visits
  - Providers can negotiate a fee for service rate increase or per member per month over and above the standard FFS payment.

- **Financial risk to provider:** Moderate risk

---

Source: Various publications from Center for Healthcare Quality and Payment Reform
### Models of Value-Based Reimbursement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Models</th>
<th>Principle</th>
<th>Description/details/examples</th>
<th>Financial risk to provider</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Shared risk** | • In this model, providers **have the incentive of sharing cost savings and the disincentive of sharing the excess costs of care delivery.** | • This system is based on a **pre-decided budget with a payer**, and calls for the provider to cover a portion of costs if savings targets are not achieved.  
  • In this model, the payer needs to prepare a shared risk structure that the provider would be inclined to accept.  
  • The provider can limit its risk by appointing a third-party insurer and paying them a fixed fee for accepting all financial risk beyond a certain point. | High risk                  |
| **Shared savings** | • The **payer and provider** enter into an agreement that includes patient attribution, service provision and estimated medical costs.  
  • Providers would submit bills and claims as in the routine FFS model. | • Bills are submitted as under the FFS model, post which analysis and review would be done by the payer and provider to identify the savings generated, if any. If the bills are below the target set by the payer, the provider is eligible for a certain share of the savings.  
  • In case the bill is above the set target, no penalty is levied on the provider.  
  • One downside is that providers that already work in a cost-effective manner would be less inclined towards adopting this model. | Moderate risk               |

*Source: Various publications from Center for Healthcare Quality and Payment Reform*
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Why do we need value-based care?

Healthcare expenditure as a percentage of GDP has seen a steady rise, putting pressure on health systems. Even as healthcare expenditure is on the rise, healthcare delivery costs remain a major concern. Around 17 countries in the graph below are spending close to 10% or more on healthcare only.

Healthcare expenditure as a percentage of GDP over 15 years

1 OECD data on healthcare spending
In 2009, the total amount of unnecessary healthcare costs in the USA was estimated to be USD 750–765 billion – that is, around one-third of the total healthcare spend.

Source of excess healthcare costs in USD billion in 2009:
- Unnecessary services: 27%
- Prevention opportunities missed: 14%
- Fraud: 10%
- Surplus admin costs: 17%
- Exorbitant pricing: 14%
- Inefficient services: 7%

Integration of coordinated care with a focus on patient centricity would enable an integrated healthcare set-up that leverages value-based care delivery.

Making the shift from the current system of fragmented provider-based care to coordinated team-based care poses a challenge to the adoption of value-based healthcare.

### Uncoordinated care

Between 2011 and 2014, US citizens had a 17% readmission rate for pneumonia and heart attacks.³

Medical errors are the third leading cause of death in the USA after heart disease and cancer, with around **250,000** deaths in 2018.⁴

There is substantial evidence that a major percentage of healthcare spending is squandered on avoidable medical complications or redundant treatments.

### Need for patient centricity

Value-based healthcare has proved to be a cost-effective as well as patient-centric delivery system where payment is based on outcome and quality.

### Lack of health coverage

Developing countries face a lack of health coverage, which is directly impacting the accessibility of healthcare services to the population. As per the IRDAI, only 24% of the Indian population is covered under public or private health coverage.⁵

Value-based care would be an enabler for improving the current scenario.

---

3. CMS research
5. National Health Profile 2017, PwC analysis
Increasing pressures of healthcare spending, care costs and patient expectations have set the stage for the adoption of value-based healthcare where the payment for care is tied to clinical outcomes and service quality.

Healthcare spending is not always proportional to health outcomes. The cost per outcome point may vary based on the efficient allocation and utilisation of resources in delivering optimum healthcare services.

Cost per outcome point = Health outcome index/Total healthcare spending

Health outcome index – composite outcome of disability-adjusted life years (DALYs), health-adjusted life expectancy (HALE), average life expectancy at age 60 and adult mortality rates

Spending is not always proportional to the care delivered. It can be observed that even though the USA has the highest cost per outcome point, it has a lower health outcome index compared to its peers.

- In the case of the above countries, 90–100% of their population is covered under public or private insurance.
- The cost per outcome point value shows the actual expenditure per population:
  - For example, the USA spends USD 107.8 per head, while 90% of the population is covered under insurance.
  - At the same time, South Korea spends only USD 20.2 USD per head, while 100% of the population has insurance cover.
- It is evident that greater health coverage can be achieved even at a lower cost. Value-based healthcare can lower healthcare spending.

Is India ready to implement value-based healthcare?

The major building blocks for implementing value-based care include public financing, resource availability, utilisation of technology and a collaborative ecosystem.

- Willingness to align with a new age system
- Increased healthcare financing from the Government
- Availability of adequate infrastructure and resources
- Cooperation and shared responsibility
- Technology and data-driven performance

Building blocks for value-based care
The Indian healthcare system, which largely operates on the FFS model, has high OOPE expenditure and inadequate infrastructure and technology support.

1. The FFS payment system rewards doctors based on the number of procedures performed, without much focus on the clinical outcome.

2. Out-of-pocket expenditure (OOPE) as a percentage of overall health expenses in India is significantly higher compared to the global average.

3. Absence of essential healthcare infrastructure and inadequate resources are some of the major challenges in the overall healthcare scenario.

4. Lack of IT integration and limited accessibility of electronic medical records (EMRs). The central data repository for predictive analytics and treatment planning has a long way to go.

5. Different stakeholders are working in silos with minimal coordination.

### OOPE as percentage of overall healthcare expenditure in 2016

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>India</td>
<td>64.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>China</td>
<td>35.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USA</td>
<td>11.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>World average</td>
<td>18.6%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Healthcare workforce per 1,000 population

- 0.7 doctors
- 1.3 nurses

Source: World Bank data (2016), industry discussions, PwC analysis
Alignment with value-based care would require strategic and financial planning along with transformation of the delivery model; the Government also plays a significant role in implementing enabling policies.

1. **Strategic vision**
The volume to value shift requires strategic alignment with new economic and business relationships on the horizon.

2. **Financial preparation**
Today’s FFS payment model would soon be converted to an outcome-based payment model, but the transition would be gradual and providers need to have a plan in place.

3. **Delivery model transformation**
Integrated care is the way forward and collaboration among stakeholders is a prerequisite. Development of the right mindset, utilisation of the necessary tools and capability development are required.

4. **Resource optimisation**
An optimum blend of skill, talent and people would facilitate the shift towards value-based care. Engagement with clinicians, who are going to be co-owners of outcome-based treatment, is a critical cornerstone.

5. **Enabling policies**
Nations moving towards value-based care require an ecosystem of enabling policies and supportive institutions that will help align all the stakeholders from provider to patient. The Government needs to play a major role in establishing the policy agenda.

*Source: Industry discussions, PwC analysis*
Ayushman Bharat, with its focus on Government funding and preventive as well as curative care, will lay the foundation for value-based care implementation in India.

Features of Ayushman Bharat

- 500 million beneficiaries (~100 million households to be covered)
- 1,350 surgical packages covered under the scheme
- Proposed Aadhaar linkage
- Family floater cap of INR 500,000
- Premium to be borne 60:40 by the Centre and state
- Purchasing to be done by the National Health Agency and State Health Agency
- Both public and private hospitals to be empanelled

The payment mechanism of Ayushman Bharat needs to be adapted in accordance with the gradual shift from volume- to value-based care.

Continuum of care

1. Wellness
   - Preventive care, primary care, immediate care

2. Outpatient care
   - Specialist care, emergency care, ancillary care

3. Investigations
   - Diagnostics

4. Hospitalisation
   - Inpatient care

5. Post-operative care/rehabilitation
   - Long-term care, skilled nursing, end-of-life care

Industry discussions and PwC analysis
What would the impact of value-based healthcare on India be?

Once implemented, value-based care will likely result in today’s fragmented care delivery evolving into tomorrow’s circle of care.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Today</th>
<th>Indian healthcare</th>
<th>Future</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Quantity</td>
<td>Reward</td>
<td>Quality</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fragmented (in silos)</td>
<td>Care offered</td>
<td>Cycle of care</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subject of care</td>
<td>Patient</td>
<td>Leads care provision</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less transparent</td>
<td>Transparency</td>
<td>Transparency provision</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vendors</td>
<td>Stakeholder</td>
<td>Partners</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revenue generation</td>
<td>Business aim</td>
<td>Expense management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provider dependant</td>
<td>Treatment</td>
<td>Evidence based</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The current system of healthcare is in ‘silos’, which makes it difficult to provide the best possible outcome at the lowest possible cost. The fragmented system causes duplication of work and increases the cost while also reducing patient satisfaction.

Value-based healthcare will bring together all modalities of care delivery to create a well-coordinated ‘continuum of care’.


Industry discussions and PwC analysis
With the right implementation, value-based care could significantly reduce healthcare cost and improve clinical outcomes in India.

**2019**
- INR 2,272 billion (USD 32 billion)
- 8.7 lakhs Lives saved

**2024**
- INR 4,004 billion (USD 57 billion)
- 9.1 lakhs Lives saved

Source: Industry discussions, PwC analysis
The success of value-based healthcare can be evaluated at all levels using measurable indicators for assessing quality of care outcomes and cost parameters.

Monitoring the KPIs below would help in analysing the adoption rate by healthcare providers.
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