Staying Updated

Indirect tax newsletter

June 2014, Volume 17 Issue 03

pwc

In the issue
CENVAT
Service tax
VAT/Entry tax/Sales tax
Contacts

In the issue

CENVAT

Case law

Manufacture

- Assembling of CNG kit for cars out of duty paid components held not to amount to manufacture
- Cutting and slitting of jumbo rolls of products falling under CETH 4811 and 8546, to smaller sizes held not to amount to 'manufacture'

Valuation

 Cost of packing of a durable returnable in nature not includible in assessable value

Service tax

Case law

 Value of material supplied free of cost by contractee cannot be added to taxable value of the contract CRS/GDS services received outside India by foreign head office cannot be held liable to tax under reverse charge in the hands of Indian branch office

VAT

- Rate of tax under composition scheme increased in Jharkhand
- Electronic filing of returns and payment of taxes made mandatory for select dealers in Assam
- In Haryana, rate of VAT on cell phones having retail price in excess of INR 10,000 increased

Sales tax

 Use of stents and valves as an intrinsic and integral element in the performance of a heart surgery on in-patients in a hospital did not involve any element of sale

CENVAT

Service tax

VAT/Entry tax/Sales tax

Contacts

CENVAT

Case law

Manufacture

- In CEV Engineering Pvt Ltd v CCE
 (2014-TIOL-796-CESTAT-DEL), the
 Delhi Tribunal held that assembling of
 CNG kit for cars out of duty-paid
 components did not amount to
 manufacture.
- In CCE v Tesa Tapes (P) Ltd (2014-TIOL-842-CESTAT-MUM), the Mumbai Tribunal held that cutting and slitting of jumbo rolls of products falling under Central Excise Tariff Heading (CETH) 4811 and 8546, to smaller sizes did not amount to 'manufacture' since these goods were not covered under the Third Schedule to the Central Excise Tariff Act.

Valuation

- In Munjal Auto Industries *v* CCE (2014-TIOL-778-CESTAT-AHM), the Ahmedabad Tribunal held that, in absence of provisional assessment, refund claim was not admissible on account of reduction of price from a date subsequent to clearance of goods from factory.
- In PG Electroplast Ltd v CCE (2014– TIOL-861-CESTAT-DEL), the Delhi Tribunal held that Colour Televisions sold to the Government of Tamil Nadu for free distribution to poorer sections

- of people were assessable under section 4A and not under section 4 since the Government of Tamil Nadu could not be called an institutional or an industrial consumer.
- In H & R Johnson (India) Ltd v CCE (2014-TIOL-845-CESTAT-MUM), the Mumbai Tribunal held that Ceramic Tiles cleared to real estate developers and builders in retail package were assessable under section 4A since there was no declaration on such package that these goods were "not meant for retail sale".
- In CCE v Owens Brockway (I) Ltd (2014-TIOL-809-CESTAT-MUM), the Mumbai Tribunal held that cost of packing of a durable that was returnable in nature was not includible in assessable value.

CENVAT/MODVAT

In Union of India v Hindustan Zinc Ltd (2014 (303) ELT 321), the Apex court held that CENVAT credit was admissible on inputs used in by-product which emerged during the manufacturing process of final product, even if such by-product was exempt from duty. Further, it held that Writ filed at the stage of 'show cause notice' (SCN) was maintainable as the same challenged not only the issuance of the SCN but also challenged the vires of rule 57CC of the erstwhile Central

CENVAT

Service tax

VAT/Entry tax/Sales tax

Contacts

Excise Rules, 1944.

- In Essar Oil Ltd v CCE (2014-(303)
 ELT 255), the Ahmedabad Tribunal
 held that differential CVD paid under
 TR-6 challan, on account of
 reassessment of Bill of Entry was an
 eligible document for CENVAT credit.
- In CCE v VIP Industries Ltd. (2014–TIOL-720-CESTAT-MUM), the Mumbai Tribunal held that there was no bar of transfer of CENVAT credit lying unutilized on closure of the unit, although there was no stock of inputs and final product.

June 2014 - Volume 17 Issue 03

Service tax

Case law

In the issue
CENVAT

Service tax

VAT/Entry tax/Sales tax

Contacts

- The Kerala High Court, in Kuttukaran Trading Ventures v CCECST (2014-TIOL-825-HC-KERALA-ST), held that the services of reconditioning/repairing, despite the fact that they were rendered with respect to a dismounted engine of a motor vehicle or a part thereof on standalone basis, would still amount to 'maintenance and repair of motor vehicles'.
- The Allahabad High Court, in CCECST *v* Garg Aviations Ltd (2014-TIOL-837-HC-ALL-ST), held that 'flying training institutes' providing training for obtaining 'Commercial Pilot Licence' and 'aircraft engineering institutes' for obtaining 'Basic Aircraft Maintenance Engineer Licence' would not be liable to service tax under 'commercial coaching and training services'.
 - The High Court relied on the decision of the Delhi High Court in Indian Institute of Aircraft Engineering *v* UOI and ors (2013-TIOL-430-HC-DEL-ST).
- The Delhi Tribunal, in Agarwal Motors *v* CCE (2014-TIOL-827-CESTAT-DEL) held that where commission received had been reversed for non-provision or non-completion of services, service tax paid by the commission agent at the time of receipt of commission could be reversed/adjusted against future liability.

- In B4U Television Network (I) P Ltd *v* CST (2014-TIOL-884-CESTAT-MUM), the Mumbai Tribunal held that excess service tax paid during the period 2001 to 2002 could be self-adjusted against service tax liability for period October 2002 to March 2003 under rule 6(3) of Service Tax Rules, 1994, and that there was no need to file a refund claim instead.
- In Hindustan Steel Works Construction Ltd v CCE (2014-TIOL-946-CESTAT-DEL), the Delhi Tribunal held that the value of cement and steel supplied free of cost by the contractee to the contractor for providing 'commercial or industrial construction' services could not be added while determining the value of the contract liable to service tax. The Tribunal relied upon the decision of the larger bench in Bhayana Builders (P) Ltd v CST (2013-TIOL-1331-CESTAT-DEL-LB).
- In British Airways v CCE (Adjn) (2014-TIOL-979-CESTAT-DEL), the Delhi Tribunal held that the services received from foreign-based Computer Reservation System/Global Distribution System companies by the foreign head office, could not be held as received on behalf of Indian branch office irrespective of the fact that Indian travel agents could also access the database and use such services for ticket reservation in India.

CENVAT

Service tax

VAT/Entry tax/Sales tax

Contacts

The Tribunal held that for the purposes of service tax, the foreign head office and Indian branch were separate entities. Accordingly, the service received outside India by the foreign head office could not be held liable to tax under reverse charge in the hands of the Indian branch.

- In Jai Mahal Hotels Pvt Ltd *v* CCE (2014-TIOL-992-CESTAT-DEL), the Delhi Tribunal held that since leasing/renting of immovable property for a hotel was expressly excluded from the ambit of 'renting of immovable property services', the same could not be held liable to tax.
- In Hyundai Motor India Engineering Pvt Ltd v CCECST (2014-TIOL-1034-CESTAT-BANG), the Bangalore Tribunal held that for the purpose of calculation of limitation period for filing refund claim towards the export of services, the relevant date was the date of receipt of payment for services exported, and not the date when services were provided.

5 June 2014 - Volume 17 Issue 03

CENVAT

Service tax

VAT/Entry tax/Sales tax

Contacts

VAT

Notifications and circulars Assam

- Effective 1 July, 2014, in addition to the companies and dealers registered under the CST Act, electronic filing of returns (including entry tax returns) has also been made mandatory for dealers having gross turnover in excess of INR 1 Mn in any of the last three financial years. Earlier, electronic filing was mandatory for dealers having gross turnover in excess of INR 4 Mn in any of the last three financial years.
 - (Circular No. 2/2014 dated 9 June, 2014)
- Effective 1 July, 2014, electronic payment of taxes (including entry tax) has been made mandatory for the following dealers:
 - Dealers registered under the CST Act
 - Other dealers whose tax payable for any tax period is not less than INR 1,000

Earlier, electronic payment of taxes was mandatory for dealers who imported goods in course of inter-state trade and commerce.

(Circular No. 3/2014 dated 9 June, 2014)

Goa

Effective 26 May, 2014, the rate of VAT on aerated and carbonated non-alcoholic

beverages has been reduced from 20% to 15%.

(Notification No. 4/5/2005-Fin(R&C) (111) dated 26 May, 2014)

Haryana

• Effective 23 May, 2014, the rate of VAT on cell phones having retail price in excess of INR 10,000 has been increased from 5.25% to 8.40%.

(Notification No. S.O.51/H.A.6/2003 /S.59/2014 dated 23 May, 2014)

Jharkhand

• Effective 29 May, 2014, the rate of composite tax payable in respect of works contract has been increased from 2% to 4%.

(Notification NO S.O 5 dated 29 May, 2014)

Karnataka

- Additional time has been allowed to dealers to file sales/purchases listings in relevant annexures for the month of May 2014 and subsequent tax periods. The additional time will depend on the educative period of the dealers. The educative period for various dealers is as follows:
 - Till 20 September, 2014 for dealers having total turnover more than INR 5 Mn but less than INR 10 Mn
 - Till 20 July, 2014 for other dealers (*Circular No. 06/2014-15 dated 16 June, 2014*)

CENVAT

Service tax

VAT/Entry tax/Sales tax

Contacts

Uttar Pradesh

- Effective 1 July, 2014, electronic filing of applications for making amendments in registration certificates has been made mandatory.
 - (Circular No. 1415031 dated 18 June, 2014)
- Effective 1 June, 2014, a new facility in the name of "e-Sancharan" has been introduced for generation of waybills. The new facility replaces the erstwhile facility wherein the dealers could download blank waybills and fill the invoice details manually thereafter.

(Circular No. 1415023 dated 3 June, 2014)

Sales tax

Case law

• The Allahabad High Court, in International Hospital Private Limited v State of Uttar Pradesh and Others (2014-71-VST-139-All), held that the use of stents and valves as an intrinsic and integral element in the performance of a heart surgery on inpatients in a hospital did not involve any element of sale in spite of the fact that the bill raised on the patients shows charges towards drugs and other consumables separately. The dominant intention of the contract was performance of a medical procedure,

- and there was no contract for sale of/intention to sell stents and valves. The present case did not involve application of any of the sub-clauses of article 366(29A) of the Constitution of India, and therefore, there was no element of sale involved.
- The Kerala High Court, in State of Kerala v Savex Computers Ltd (2014-VIL-159-Ker), held that there was no restriction on stock transfer of goods outside the state against form F, which were originally purchased in the course of inter-State trade against form C by the assessee. The condition which provided that goods purchased against form C were meant for resale did not mandate resale within the same state.
- The Ahmedabad High Court, in State of Gujarat v Kay Kay Equipments (2014-VIL-148-Guj), held that roadside metal crash barriers were an integral part of the road, and installation of such iron and metal barriers with cement-concrete work were in the nature of civil works, and a part of the work of road construction. Such contracts were, therefore, eligible for 2% composition tax applicable to "works contracts for civil works like construction of buildings, bridges or roads, and repairs thereof".

In the issue

CENVAT

Service tax

VAT/Entry tax/Sales tax

Contacts

Contacts

Delhi

Vivek Mishra/R Muralidharan Ph: +91 (124) 3306000

Mumbai

Dharmesh Panchal/S Satish Ph: +91 (22) 6689 1000

Kolkata

Gopal Agarwal

Ph: +91 (33) 2357 9100/4404 6000

Bangalore

Pramod Banthia
Ph: +91 (80) 4079 6000

Hyderabad

Ananthanarayanan S Ph: +91 (40) 4424 6363

Chennai

Harisudhan M

Ph: +91 (44) 4228 5000

Pune

Nitin Vijaivergia

Ph: +91 (20) 4100 4444

Ahmedabad

Dharmesh Panchal/Niren Shethia

Ph: +91 (22) 6689 1000

About PwC

PwC* helps organisations and individuals create the value they're looking for. We are a network of firms in 157 countries with more than 184,000 people who are committed to delivering quality in assurance, tax and advisory services.

PwC India refers to the network of PwC firms in India, having offices in: Ahmedabad, Bangalore, Chennai, Delhi NCR, Hyderabad, Kolkata, Mumbai and Pune. For more information about PwC India's service offerings, please visit www.pwc.in.

*PwC refers to PwC India and may sometimes refer to the PwC network. Each member firm is a separate legal entity. Please see www.pwc.com/structure for further details.

Tell us what matters to you and find out more by visiting us at www.pwc.in.









For private circulation only

This publication does not constitute professional advice. The information in this publication has been obtained or derived from sources believed by PricewaterhouseCoopers Private Limited (PwCPL) to be reliable but PwCPL does not represent that this information is accurate or complete. Any opinions or estimates contained in this publication represent the judgment of PwCPL at this time and are subject to change without notice. Readers of this publication are advised to seek their own professional advice before taking any course of action or decision, for which they are entirely responsible, based on the contents of this publication. PwCPL neither accepts or assumes any responsibility or liability to any reader of this publication in respect of the information contained within it or for any decisions readers may take or decide not to or fail to take.

© 2014 PricewaterhouseCoopers Private Limited. All rights reserved. In this document, "PwC" refers to PricewaterhouseCoopers Private Limited (a limited liability company in India having Corporate Identity Number or CIN: U74140WB1983PTC036093), which is a member firm of PricewaterhouseCoopers International Limited (PwCIL), each member firm of which is a separate legal entity.