

## ***Benefit of Article 8 of the Cyprus-India tax treaty is available as long as the enterprise is registered and has headquarters in Cyprus***

11 June 2014

### ***In brief***

Recently, in the case of **Shaan Marine Services Private Limited**, the Pune Bench of the Income-tax Appellate Tribunal (Tribunal) held that ‘effective management’ was clearly defined in Article 8 of the Double Tax Avoidance Agreement between India and Cyprus (India–Cyprus tax treaty) to mean that if the enterprise was registered and had headquarters in a certain country, the effective management would be situated in that country.

### ***In detail***

#### ***The facts***

The taxpayer<sup>1</sup> was an agent of Glendive Enterprises Limited (Glendive), a company registered in Cyprus. Glendive was a tax resident of Cyprus and had obtained a tax residency certificate from the Cyprus tax authorities to this effect.

Glendive had entered into an agreement with Arabian Resources FZC, Sharjah UAE (Arabian) for making available a ship for transport of Bauxite ore from India to Sharjah. For this purpose, Glendive entered into an agreement with Aquavita for chartering a ship.

Furthermore, Glendive appointed the taxpayer as its agent for handling the loading at the Indian port and obtaining clearances from various departments like customs, income-tax and immigration.

The taxpayer filed a return of income in India under section 172 of the Income-tax Act, 1961 (the Act) as an agent of Glendive. In the return, the taxpayer declared nil income by virtue of Article 8 of the India–Cyprus tax treaty.

The Tax Officer (TO) observed the following:

- Glendive had paid the amount payable to Aquavita on the same day on which it received the amount from Arabian;

- The tax residency certificate alone would not be sufficient to conclude that Glendive’s place of effective management was in Cyprus;

- Glendive had only one shareholder;

- Only one person had signed as the chairman and secretary on the minutes;

The TO held that Glendive was a one-person company with no office and no staff, and that it was interposed as a charterer to take advantage of the India–Cyprus tax treaty. The TO further held that the effective management of Glendive was not in Cyprus and that the benefit of Article 8 of the India–Cyprus tax treaty could not be given in this case.

<sup>1</sup> Shaan Marine Services Private Limited v. DDIT [TS-327-ITAT-2014(Pune)]

Thus, the TO held that Glendive was taxable in India under section 172(4) of the Act and he taxed the taxpayer as an agent of Glendive.

The Commissioner of Income-tax (Appeals) [CIT(A)] confirmed the TO's order. He relied on paragraph 24 of the OECD commentary on Article 4 of the OECD Model Convention to determine the place of effective management (the place from where the actual services rendered by the company had to be seen). Accordingly, the CIT(A) held that the effective management of Glendive was not in Cyprus, and hence Article 8 of the India–Cyprus tax treaty does not apply in this case.

Aggrieved by this order, the taxpayer filed an appeal before the Tribunal

#### ***Issue before the Tribunal***

Whether the place of effective management of Glendive was situated in Cyprus and hence it was eligible for benefit of Article 8 of the India–Cyprus tax treaty?

#### ***Taxpayer's contentions***

The taxpayer was only an agent for Glendive, and not of any other party. Hence the income was not assessable in the taxpayer's hands at all.

The place of effective management of Glendive was situated in Cyprus.

#### ***Revenue's contention***

The departmental representative re-iterated the contentions of the TO and the CIT(A).

#### ***Tribunal ruling***

On the facts of the case, the Tribunal observed that Glendive was a one-person company with

no branches anywhere, with only an office establishment in Cyprus.

The Tribunal observed that Glendive had played a definite role in transporting the ore by ship from India to Sharjah, which was evident from the fact that all contracts and the bill of lading were in Glendive's name. The Tribunal held that the authorities had tried to re-write these contracts, which was not permissible.

The Tribunal further held that if the authorities were of the opinion that it was the income of Glendive, then it was to be noted that Glendive did not have any establishment outside Cyprus. Accordingly, such income arose to Glendive in Cyprus only.

If the authorities were of the view that Glendive was only a paper company and was interposed only to claim India–Cyprus tax treaty benefit, then the income should accrue to Aquavita.

With regard to the place of effective management, the Tribunal held that 'effective management' was clearly defined in Article 8 of the India–Cyprus tax treaty to mean that if the enterprise was registered and had headquarters in a certain country, the effective management would be situated in that country. Reliance on the OECD commentary could be placed to interpret tax treaties where 'effective management' was not defined.

In view of the above, the Tribunal concluded that the CIT(A) erred in holding that Glendive's income was taxable under section 172(4) of the Act in the taxpayer's hands and the India–Cyprus tax treaty

benefits were not available to Glendive.

#### ***The takeaway***

This decision reaffirms the settled principle that legally binding agreements between unrelated parties cannot be disregarded.

**Let's talk**

For a deeper discussion of how this issue might affect your business, please contact:

**Tax & Regulatory Services – Direct Tax**

Shyamal Mukherjee, *Gurgaon*  
+91-124 330 6536  
[shyamal.mukherjee@in.pwc.com](mailto:shyamal.mukherjee@in.pwc.com)

Ketan Dalal, *Mumbai*  
+91-22 6689 1422  
[ketan.dalal@in.pwc.com](mailto:ketan.dalal@in.pwc.com)

Rahul Garg, *Gurgaon*  
+91-124 330 6515  
[rahul.garg@in.pwc.com](mailto:rahul.garg@in.pwc.com)

**Ahmedabad**

President Plaza  
1st Floor Plot No 36  
Opp Muktidham Derasar  
Thaltej Cross Road, SG Highway  
Ahmedabad, Gujarat 380054  
+91-79 3091 7000

**Bangalore**

6th Floor  
Millenia Tower 'D'  
1 & 2, Murphy Road, Ulsoor,  
Bangalore 560 008  
Phone +91-80 4079 7000

**Chennai**

8th Floor  
Prestige Palladium Bayan  
129-140 Greams Road  
Chennai 600 006  
+91 44 4228 5000

**Hyderabad**

Plot no. 77/A, 8-2-624/A/1, 4th  
Floor, Road No. 10, Banjara Hills,  
Hyderabad – 500034,  
Andhra Pradesh  
Phone +91-40 44246000

**Kolkata**

56 & 57, Block DN.  
Ground Floor, A- Wing  
Sector - V, Salt Lake  
Kolkata - 700 091, West Bengal  
+91-033 2357 9101/  
4400 1111

**Mumbai**

PwC House  
Plot No. 18A,  
Guru Nanak Road (Station Road),  
Bandra (West), Mumbai - 400 050  
+91-22 6689 1000

**Gurgaon**

Building No. 10, Tower - C  
17th & 18th Floor,  
DLF Cyber City, Gurgaon  
Haryana -122002  
+91-124 330 6000

**Pune**

GF-02, Tower C,  
Panchshil Tech Park,  
Don Bosco School Road,  
Yerwada, Pune - 411 006  
+91-20 4100 4444

**For more information**

Contact us at  
[pwctr.knowledgemanagement@in.pwc.com](mailto:pwctr.knowledgemanagement@in.pwc.com)

**About PwC**

PwC helps organisations and individuals create the value they're looking for. We're a network of firms in 157 countries with more than 184,000 people who are committed to delivering quality in Assurance, Tax and Advisory services.

PwC India refers to the network of PwC firms in India, having offices in: Ahmedabad, Bangalore, Chennai, Delhi NCR, Hyderabad, Kolkata, Mumbai and Pune. For more information about PwC India's service offerings, please visit [www.pwc.in](http://www.pwc.in).

\*PwC refers to PwC India and may sometimes refer to the PwC network. Each member firm is a separate legal entity. Please see [www.pwc.com/structure](http://www.pwc.com/structure) for further details. Tell us what matters to you and find out more by visiting us at [www.pwc.in](http://www.pwc.in)



For private circulation only

This publication has been prepared for general guidance on matters of interest only, and does not constitute professional advice. You should not act upon the information contained in this publication without obtaining specific professional advice. No representation or warranty (express or implied) is given as to the accuracy or completeness of the information contained in this publication, and, to the extent permitted by law, PwCPL, its members, employees and agents accept no liability, and disclaim all responsibility, for the consequences of you or anyone else acting, or refraining to act, in reliance on the information contained in this publication or for any decision based on it. Without prior permission of PwCPL, this publication may not be quoted in whole or in part or otherwise referred to in any documents.

© 2014 PricewaterhouseCoopers Private Limited. All rights reserved. In this document, "PwC" refers to PricewaterhouseCoopers Private Limited (a limited liability company in India having Corporate Identity Number or CIN : U74140WB1983PTC036093), which is a member firm of PricewaterhouseCoopers International Limited (PwCIL), each member firm of which is a separate legal entity.